

acting as the agent of her Said husband, and that her acts in this occasion were ratified & approved by her Said husband & that Said defendant Claimed this affiant as her Slave.

This affiant avers that previous to Said trial he had no knowledge that the Wife of Said Russell, or any other person ↑than Said Russell↓ had any knowledge that this affiant was held in Slavery by Said defendant.

This affiant avers that the facts [*illegible*] in his petition to sue for his freedom are true. That he was & is a free man & was at the time of the Commencement of this Suit held in Slavery by Said defendant[.] That the verdict against this affiant is unjust & oppressive tending to the [...]tion of rights to which he is entitled by the laws of the land. And that upon a new trial he will be able to establish his right to freedom & to prove that he was & is unjustly & unlawfully held in Slavery by Said defendant.

his
Dred X Scott
mark

Subscribed & sworn this 24th July 1847. Jno. Ruland clerk

[reverse side]

Dred Scott

vs.

Irene Emerson

Affidavit of Dred Scott
an application for a new trial

Filed July 24th 1847

Jno. Ruland clerk